

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | F     | ILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/692,443               |       | 10/22/2003   | Ashok N. Kabadi      | 42P15578              | 42P15578 8005    |  |  |
| 8791                     | 7590  | 05/02/2006   |                      | EXA                   | EXAMINER         |  |  |
| BLAKELY                  | SOKOL | OFF TAYLOR & | CHERVINS             | CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO |                  |  |  |
| 12400 WILSI<br>SEVENTH F |       | ULEVARD      |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
| Q= (=:(:::               |       | 90025-1030   |                      | 2835                  |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |   | 11  |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |   |
| Advisory Action   | 10/692,443   | KABADI ET AL.   |   |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |
|   | Boris L. Chervinsky  | 2835  |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.  |   |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followald places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this property of the period for reply expires on the statutory period for reply expired</li> </ol> | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in concerning the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be date of the final rejection.  Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | •   |   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL  | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>dension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing da                               | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi   | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as                           |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS   | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th   |   |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ol>   | onsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);   | TE below);  |   |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  | ected claims.   |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co ):   |   |   |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil   | -   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants fail to claim structural details therefore the elements as claimed are interpreted in reasonably broad terms as applied to the prior art.

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/27/6